This note contains an *errata corrig* for the paper


At page 228 of the published version, "$\eta = 0.86$" should be replaced by "$\tilde{\eta} = \frac{\eta}{1-\eta} = 0.86$, implying that $\eta = 0.46$".

The typo in the printed version is inconsequential. It affects no other statement or results in the paper (e.g., targets of the calibration, etc.), nor the code upon which numerical results are based. The code is constructed on the correct assumption that $\tilde{\eta} = 0.86$.

We thank Li-Pin Juan for kindly drawing our attention on this imperfection.